

q -ANALOGUE OF WILSON'S THEOREM

ROBIN CHAPMAN AND HAO PAN

ABSTRACT. We give q -analogues of Wilson's theorem for the primes congruent 1 and 3 modulo 4 respectively. And q -analogues of two congruences due to Mordell and Chowla are also established.

1. INTRODUCTION

For arbitrary positive integer n , let

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}.$$

Clearly $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [n]_q = 1$, so we say that $[n]_q$ is a q -analogue of the integer n . Supposing that $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, we have

$$[a]_q = \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q} = \frac{1 - q^b + q^b(1 - q^{a-b})}{1 - q} \equiv \frac{1 - q^b}{1 - q} = [b]_q \pmod{[n]_q}.$$

Here the above congruence is considered over the polynomial ring in the variable q with integral coefficients. And q -analogues of some arithmetical congruences have been studied in [9, 1, 7, 8].

Let p be a prime. The well-known Wilson theorem states that

$$(p - 1)! \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Unfortunately, in general,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} [j]_q \not\equiv -q^n \pmod{[p]_q}$$

for any integer n . However, we have the following q -analogue of Wilson's theorem for a prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $p > 3$ is a prime and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then we have*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} [j]_{q^j} \equiv -1 \pmod{[p]_q}. \quad (1.1)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11A07; Secondary 05A30, 11R29.

In [6] (or see [10, Theorem 8]), Mordell proved that if $p > 3$ is a prime and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! \equiv (-1)^{\frac{h(-p)+1}{2}} \pmod{p}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $h(-p)$ is the class number of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. Now we can give a q -analogue of (1.2).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p > 3$ be a prime with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then we have*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} [j]_{q^{16j}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{h(-p)+1}{2}} q \pmod{[p]_q}. \quad (1.3)$$

The case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is a little complicated. Let $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ denote the Legendre symbol modulo p . It is well-known that for any a prime to p , $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$ or -1 according to whether a is a quadratic residue modulo p . Let ε_p and $h(p)$ be the fundamental unit and the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ respectively.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that p is a prime and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then we have*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} [j]_{q^j} \equiv A + B \sum_{j=1, \left(\frac{j}{p}\right)=-1}^{p-1} q^j \pmod{[p]_q}, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$A = \frac{\varepsilon_p^{2h(p)} + \varepsilon_p^{-2h(p)}}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_p^{2h(p)} - \varepsilon_p^{-2h(p)}}{2\sqrt{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \frac{\varepsilon_p^{2h(p)} - \varepsilon_p^{-2h(p)}}{\sqrt{p}}.$$

Write $\varepsilon_p = (u_p + v_p\sqrt{p})/2$ where u_p, v_p are positive integers with the same parity. Clearly $u_p^2 - pv_p^2 = \pm 4$ since ε_p is an unit. Letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in (1.4), we obtain that

$$-1 \equiv (p-1)! \equiv A + \frac{B(p-1)}{2} \equiv \frac{\varepsilon_p^{2h(p)} + \varepsilon_p^{-2h(p)}}{2} \equiv \frac{u_p^{2h(p)}}{2^{2h(p)}} \pmod{p}.$$

It follows that $h(p)$ is odd and the norm of ε_p is always -1 , i.e., $u_p^2 - pv_p^2 = -4$.

In [4] (or see [10, Theorem 9]), Chowla extended Mordell's result (1.2) for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $h(p)$ and $\varepsilon_p = (u_p + v_p\sqrt{p})/2$ be defined as above. Then Chowla proved that

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! \equiv \frac{(-1)^{\frac{h(p)+1}{2}} u_p}{2} \pmod{p}. \quad (1.5)$$

Now we have the following q -analogue of Chowla's congruence:

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that p is a prime and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} [j]_{q^j} \equiv -Cq - D \sum_{j=1, \left(\frac{j}{p}\right)=-1}^{p-1} q^{j+1} \pmod{[p]_q}, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$C = \frac{\varepsilon_p^{h(p)} - \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_p^{h(p)} + \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}}{2\sqrt{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \frac{\varepsilon_p^{h(p)} + \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}}{\sqrt{p}}.$$

Let us explain why (1.6) implies (1.5). Letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in (1.6), it is derived that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &\equiv - \left(\frac{\varepsilon_p^{h(p)} - \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_p^{h(p)} + \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}}{2\sqrt{p}} + \frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_p^{h(p)} + \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}}{\sqrt{p}} \right) \\ &\equiv - \frac{((u_p + v_p\sqrt{p})/2)^{h(p)} - ((-u_p + v_p\sqrt{p})/2)^{h(p)}}{2} \\ &\equiv - \frac{u_p^{h(p)}}{2^{h(p)}} = -(u_p^2/4)^{\frac{h(p)-1}{2}} \frac{u_p}{2} \equiv - \frac{(-1)^{\frac{h(p)-1}{2}} u_p}{2} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.4 will be given in the next sections.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

In this section we assume that $p > 3$ is a prime and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Write

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} [j]_{q^j} = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1 - q^{j^2}}{1 - q^j} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} [j]_{q^j} = \prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1 - q^{j^2}}{1 - q^j}.$$

Observe that

$$[p]_q = \frac{1 - q^p}{1 - q} = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (q - \zeta^j)$$

where $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$. Also we know that $\sigma_s : \zeta \mapsto \zeta^s$ is an automorphism over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ provided that $p \nmid s$. Hence it suffices to show that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1 - \zeta^{j^2}}{1 - \zeta^j} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1 - \zeta^{j^2}}{1 - \zeta^j} = (-1)^{\frac{h(-p)+1}{2}} \zeta.$$

Let Q and N denote respectively the sets of quadratic residues and quadratic non-residues of p in the interval $[1, p-1]$. Then

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1 - \zeta^{j^2}}{1 - \zeta^j} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (1 - \zeta^{j^2})^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta^j)} = \frac{U^2}{UV} = \frac{U}{V},$$

where

$$U = \prod_{k \in Q} (1 - \zeta^k), \quad \text{and} \quad V = \prod_{k \in N} (1 - \zeta^k).$$

But since -1 is a quadratic non-residue modulo p ,

$$V = \prod_{k \in Q} (1 - \zeta^{p-k}) = \prod_{k \in Q} (1 - \zeta^{-k}) = U \prod_{k \in Q} (-\zeta^k).$$

Now

$$\sum_{k \in Q} k \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} j^2 = \frac{p(p^2-1)}{24} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

as p is prime to 6 and so $p^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$. We conclude that

$$U/V = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \zeta^{-\sum_{k \in Q} k} = -1$$

as desired.

Now let us begin to prove

$$\prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1 - \zeta^{16j^2}}{1 - \zeta^{16j}} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (1 - \zeta^{16j^2})}{\prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (1 - \zeta^{16j})} = (-1)^{\frac{h(-p)+1}{2}} \zeta. \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly the numerator of the left side of (2.1) is U . Let

$$W = \prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (1 - \zeta^{16j})$$

denote its denominator. Let $M = \{1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2\}$. Then $W = W_+ W_-$ where

$$W_+ = \prod_{j \in M \cap Q} (1 - \zeta^{16j}), \quad \text{and} \quad W_- = \prod_{j \in M \cap N} (1 - \zeta^{16j}).$$

Now

$$W_- = \sum_{M' \cap Q} (1 - \zeta^{-16k}) = \frac{U}{W_+} \prod_{k \in M' \cap Q} (-\zeta^{-16k})$$

where $M' = \{(p+1)/2, \dots, p-1\}$. We know (see [10, Section 1.3]) that

$$\begin{aligned} h(-p) &= \frac{1}{2 - \binom{2}{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{k}{p} = \frac{1}{2 - \binom{2}{p}} \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - 2 \sum_{k \in M \cap N} 1 \right) \\ &\equiv -1 - 2|M \cap N| = -1 - 2|M' \cap Q| \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p^2-1}{8} &= \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} k = \sum_{k \in M \cap Q} k + \sum_{k \in M \cap N} k = \sum_{k \in M \cap Q} k + \sum_{k \in M' \cap Q} (p-k) \\ &\equiv \sum_{k \in Q} k - 2 \sum_{k \in M' \cap Q} k \equiv -2 \sum_{k \in M' \cap Q} k \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

whence $\sum_{k \in M' \cap Q} 16k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Thus

$$\frac{U}{W} = \frac{U}{W_+ W_-} = (-1)^{|M' \cap Q|} \prod_{k \in M' \cap Q} \zeta^{16k} = (-1)^{(1+h(-p))/2} \zeta,$$

which confirms (2.1). \square

3. WHEN $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Below suppose that p is a prime congruent 1 modulo 4 and $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$. Let $Q, N \subseteq [1, p-1]$ be the sets of quadratic residues and quadratic non-residues of p respectively. Let

$$U = \prod_{k \in Q} (1 - \zeta^k) \quad \text{and} \quad V = \prod_{k \in N} (1 - \zeta^k).$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we only need to show prove that

$$A + B \sum_{j \in N} \zeta^j = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1 - \zeta^{j^2}}{1 - \zeta^j} = \frac{\prod_{j \in Q} (1 - \zeta^j)^2}{\prod_{j \in Q} (1 - \zeta^j) \prod_{j \in N} (1 - \zeta^j)} = \frac{U}{V}. \quad (3.1)$$

By the analytic class number formula [2, Chapter 1, Section 4, Theorem 2]

$$U = \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)} \sqrt{p} \quad \text{and} \quad V = \varepsilon_p^{h(p)} \sqrt{p}.$$

Thus $U/V = \varepsilon_p^{-2h(p)} = a - b\sqrt{p}$ where

$$2a = \varepsilon_p^{2h(p)} + \varepsilon_p^{-2h(p)} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad 2b = (\varepsilon_p^{2h(p)} - \varepsilon_p^{-2h(p)})/\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Also, by Gauss's formula for the quadratic Gauss sum

$$\sqrt{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) \zeta^j = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \zeta^j - 2 \sum_{j \in N} \zeta^j = -1 - 2 \sum_{j \in N} \zeta^j.$$

Hence

$$\frac{U}{V} = a + b \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j \in N} \zeta^j \right),$$

which is clearly equivalent to (3.1).

Remark. The first author used products like $\prod_{j \in N} (1 - \zeta^j)$ extensively in [3].

Let us now consider the product

$$\prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1 - \zeta^{16j^2}}{1 - \zeta^{16j}} = \frac{U}{\Pi_{16}}$$

where

$$\Pi_r = \prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (1 - \zeta^{rj}).$$

When r and s are prime to p , we have $\Pi_{rs} = \sigma_s(\Pi_r)$ where σ_s is the automorphism over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ mapping ζ to ζ^s . It turns out to be convenient to compute Π_{16} as $\sigma_4(\Pi_4)$.

As $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we know that $U = \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)} \sqrt{p}$. For each r prime to p ,

$$|\Pi_r|^2 = \Pi_r \Pi_{-r} = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta^j) = p,$$

so $|\Pi_r| = \sqrt{p}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Pi_4}{|\Pi_4|} &= \prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1 - \zeta^{4j}}{|1 - \zeta^{4j}|} = \prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-\zeta^{2j}) \frac{2i \sin(4\pi j/p)}{|2i \sin(4\pi j/p)|} \\ &= (-1)^M \prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} (-i \zeta^{2j}) = (-1)^M (-i)^{(p-1)/2} \zeta^{(p^2-1)/4} \\ &= (-1)^{(p-1)/4+M} \zeta^{(p^2-1)/4} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = |\{1 \leq j \leq (p-1)/2 : \sin(4\pi j/p) < 0\}|.$$

Note that when $0 < j < p/2$, $\sin(4\pi j/p) < 0$ if and only if $p/4 < j < p/2$. So $M = (p-1)/4$, and

$$\Pi_4 = \zeta^{(p^2-1)/4} \sqrt{p}.$$

Also,

$$\sigma_4(\sqrt{p}) = \sigma_4\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{j}{p} \zeta^j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{j}{p} \zeta^{4j} = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{j}{p} \zeta^j = \sqrt{p}$$

Thus

$$\Pi_{16} = \sigma_4(\zeta^{(p^2-1)/4} \sqrt{p}) = \zeta^{p^2-1} \sqrt{p} = \zeta^{-1} \sqrt{p}.$$

Assume that $\varepsilon_p^{h(p)} = (c + d\sqrt{p})/2$ where c, d are integers with the same parity. Recall that the norm of ε_p is -1 and $h(p)$ is odd. Hence $\varepsilon_p^{-h(p)} = (-c + d\sqrt{p})/2$. So

$$c = \varepsilon_p^{h(p)} - \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)} \quad \text{and} \quad d\sqrt{p} = \varepsilon_p^{h(p)} + \varepsilon_p^{-h(p)}.$$

As $\sqrt{p} = -1 - 2 \sum_{j \in N} \zeta^j$, we have

$$\varepsilon_p^{-h(p)} = \frac{-c + d\sqrt{p}}{2} = -\frac{c+d}{2} - d \sum_{j \in N} \zeta^j.$$

Therefore

$$\prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1 - \zeta^{16j^2}}{1 - \zeta^{16j}} = \frac{U}{\Pi_{16}} = \frac{\varepsilon_p^{-h(p)} \sqrt{p}}{\zeta^{-1} \sqrt{p}} = -\frac{(c+d)\zeta}{2} - d \sum_{j \in N} \zeta^{j+1}.$$

This concludes that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} [j]_{q^{16j}} \equiv -\frac{c+d}{2}q - d \sum_{j \in N} q^{j+1} \pmod{[p]_q}.$$

We are done. □

Acknowledgment. The second author thanks Professor Zhi-Wei Sun for his helpful suggestions on this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *q-Analogs of the binomial coefficient congruences of Babbage, Wolstenholme and Glaisher*, Discrete Math., **204**(1999), 15-25.
- [2] Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, *Number Theory*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.
- [3] R. Chapman, *Determinants of Legendre symbol matrices*, Acta Arithmetica, **115**(2004), 231-244.
- [4] S. Chowla, *On the class number of real quadratic field*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **47**(1961), 878.
- [5] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A classical introduction to modern number theory, 2nd ed.*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **84**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [6] L. J. Mordell, *The congruence $((p-1)/2)! \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$* , Amer. Math. Monthly, **68**(1961), 145-146.
- [7] H. Pan, *Arithmetic properties of q-Fibonacci numbers and q-Pell numbers*, Discrete Math., to appear, arXiv:math.CO/0508546.
- [8] H. Pan and Z.-W. Sun, *On q-Euler numbers, q-Salie numbers and q-Carlitz numbers*, Acta Arith., to appear, arXiv:math.CO/0505548.
- [9] B. E. Sagan, *Congruence properties of q-analogs*, Adv. Math., **95**(1992), 127-143.
- [10] J. Urbanowicz and K. S. Williams, *Congruences for L-functions*, Mathematics and its Applications 511, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EXETER, EXETER, EX4 4QE, UK, 01392 263971

E-mail address: rjc@maths.ex.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: haopan79@yahoo.com.cn